Morpho-physiological and yield characteristics of interspecific hybrids between cultivated eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.) and wild relatives in response to drought stress

GKMMK Ranaweera^{1*}, RM Fonseka¹ and H Fonseka²

¹Department of Crop Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Peradeniya, Sri Lanka ²Onesh Agriculture Pvt. Ltd. 100, Kent Road, Colombo 9 *Email: madhusankaranweera111@gmail.com

Received : 02.12.2019 ; Revised : 22.03.2020 ; Accepted : 25.03.2020

ABSTRACT

Drought has been identified as one of the principal global problems, which further exacerbates under climate change. Wild relatives of crops are a genetic resource with an array of traits of interest, including tolerance to biotic and abiotic stresses. The aim of this study was to evaluate the drought tolerance ability of ten interspecific hybrids between seven different cultivated varieties of Solanum melongena L. and three wild relatives (Solanum insanum, Solanum incanum and Solanum lichtensteinii). The Experiment was conducted in a protected house at the University Research Station, Meewatrura, Peradeniya (WM2b) during Maha 2018. The experimental materials were subjected to three irrigation treatments viz: field capacity (control, II) and two droughts stress levels (70% and 40% of field capacity: I2 and I3, respectively). Plant morphological characters, physiological characters and yield attributes were measured. Results revealed that drought stress (I2 and I3 treatments) significantly (pd 0.05) reduced the plant height, canopy width, number of leaves, number of branches, number of fruits and finally the average yield of all interspecific hybrids. The proline content and chlorophyll concentrations (a, b and total) were significantly increased (pd 0.05) in the plants under the drought stress. Relative water content also significantly increased (pd 0.05) for 13 level. Total soluble solids of fruits were increased significantly (pd 0.05) due to the drought stress. Moreover, interspecific hybrids $MEL2 \times S$. insanum, $MEL3 \times S$. insanum, $MEL5 \times S$. insanum, MEL6 \times S. insanum and MEL7 \times S. insanum, have shown better performance under 11 and 12 treatments while, $MEL2 \times S.$ insanum, $MEL3 \times S.$ insanum, $MEL5 \times S.$ insanum, $MEL6 \times S.$ insanum, $MEL7 \times S.$ insanum showed best tolerance under 13 treatment. Thus, those interspecific hybrids have potential to utilize as genetic materials for future breeding programs to develop drought resistant eggplant varieties.

Keywords : Drought stress, Eggplant, Interspecific hybrids, *Solanum incanum*, *S. insanum*, *S. lichtensteinii*, *S. melongena*

INTRODUCTION

In many regions of the world, there have been considerable changes in the nature of droughts, floods and extreme temperature events since the middle of the twentieth century. Now onwards at least 0.2 °C per decade average increment of temperature is projected (Liu et al., 2019). Annual average rainfall of Sri Lanka has been decreasing for the last 57 years at a rate of about 7 mm per year. Agricultural crops are affected by global warming due to increment of atmospheric CO₂ concentration and changing of climatic conditions (De Costa, 2008). Global warming results in erratic climate change and the reduced groundwater availability because of competition the use of ground water for industrial needs (Green et al., 2011). Increase the crop production selection of

new genotypes having resistant to abiotic stresses such as water deficit, salinity, extreme temperatures is essential (Ashraf et al., 2009). Domestication process cause to severe reduction in genetic diversity of most crops when comparing with their wild relatives (Smýka et al., 2018). Wild relatives of crops inherent largely untapped genetic diversity (Momin et al., 2016) for biotic and abiotic stress resistance, and could greatly expand the available domesticated gene pools to assist crops to survive in the predicted extremes of climate change. Genomic strategies can obtain in the introgression of these valuable characteristics into the domesticated crop gene pools, it is key issue for evaluated for crop improvement (Zhang et al., 2017). Eggplant takes over a half an year developing time under warm climatic conditions

to give preferred high quality fruits and it can be classified as a moderately sensitive vegetable crop for drought (Ghaemi and Rafiee, 2016). To develop crops having tolerance to drought it is necessary to identify genetic variability for drought among crop varieties, or among sexually compatible species to incorporate drought-tolerance together with appropriate agronomic traits. The morphophysiological changes in response to drought stress can be used to identify tolerant genotypes to develop new varieties with better productivity under drought stress (Nadeem et al., 2019). Plant height, canopy width, number of leaves leaf area, dry biomass are reliable morphological data to evaluate the response of plants to drought stress. While stomatal conductance, transpiration rate, photosynthesis rate, proline content and water use efficiency are physiological plants responses (Anjum *et al.*, 2017).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Accessions and experimental site

The experiment was carried out in a green house at the University Research Station, located in Meewathura, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Peradeniya, during June - December 2018 (*Maha*) under controlled conditions where maximum and minimum temperatures were around 42.7 °C and 17.4 °C, respectively. Ten interspecific hybrids were used which were developed using six cultivated eggplant varieties and three wild relatives as parents with diverse origin (Table 1).

	-			
Cultivated Eggplant	Origin	Wild relatives	Origin	Interspecific
accessions				hybrids
(S. melongena L.)				
MEL 2	Ivory Coast	S. insanum (INS 1)	Sri Lanka	MEL 2 \times INS 1
MEL 3				MEL 3 \times INS 1
MEL 4	Sri Lanka	S. lichtensteinii (LIC 1)) South	MEL 4 \times INS 1
	_		Africa	MEL 4 \times INS 1
				MEL 4 \times INC 1
				MEL 4 \times LIC 1
MEL 5				MEL 5 \times INS 1
				MEL 5 \times INC 1
MEL 6		S. incanum (INC 1)	Israel	MEL 6 \times INS 1
MEL 7				MEL 7 \times INS 1

Table 1. Details of the plant materials used in the study

Experimental design

A completely randomized design was used which consists of 10 interspecific hybrids and three levels of irrigation treatments with three replications. Polybags (25 cm ' 35 cm) filled with a mixture of top soil : compost : coir dust: half burn paddy husk at the ratio of 5: 3: 2: 1. The optimum water quantity was determined according to Eunice (2014). Irrigation treatments were applied based on the filed capacity (FC) of potting media. Three drought stress levels were adopted based on field capacity namely; I1; FC/ Optimum watering (3.5 L), I2: 70% FC (2.5 L) and I3: 40% FC (1.5 L).

Data Collection

Physiological data and relative water content (RWC) of leaves were measured using the third leaf of main stem of each plant (Matricaria, 2011), Leaf Chlorophyll was measured according to Pirzad *et al.* (2011) and Proline was measured using colorimetric method used by Bates *et al.* (1973). Plant height (cm), plant canopy width (cm), stem diameter (cm), number of green leaves per plant (LPP), number of branches, leaf area were measured as morphological data and yield per plant (YPP/g), Number of fruits per plant (NOF), Mean fruit weight (MFW/g) total soluble solids (TSS) were also measured.

Data analysis

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed using SAS statistical program (SAS 9.1.3 version) at 5% level of significance. Mean separation was done using Duncan Multiple Range test (DMRT).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A highly significant difference was observed between irrigation levels and accessions for all measured traits. Mean performance of all morphological traits including plant height, canopy width, LPP (Fig. 1) and number of branches decreased significantly (at p<0.05) from I1 to I3. Drought had the most significant effect on growth traits at I3 and less effect was observed at I2. Drought has been widely reported to hinder growth (Mofokeng and Mokgehle, 2019; Hafeez *et al.*, 2015). The reduction in the LPP and branches under drought stress is another mechanism that plants use to reduce the surface area available for transpiration. This helps to increase water use efficiency in metabolic processes in plant (Pucholt et al., 2015). Proline concentration and Chlorophyll (a, b and total) concentration of leaves was highly significant between accessions and drought stress levels (p<0.05) (Fig 2, 3 and 4). Results of the present study is in agreement with Chartzoulakis and Noitsakis, (1993) and Mensah et al. (2006). Level of proline concentration was significantly higher in I3 compared to I1 and I2 levels. Accumulation of proline has been reported under the drought stress in eggplant (Solanum melonogena) (Laxman et al., 2011). Under water deficit conditions the decline in osmotic potential achieved by solute accumulation such as proline (Heuer, 2010).



Figure 1. Number of leaves variation under different irrigation treatments



Figure 2. Leaf proline concentration variation under irrigation treatments

Ranaweera et al.



Figure 3. Leaf chlorophyll (a) a concentration variation under different irrigation treatments



Figure 4. Leaf chlorophyll (b) concentration variation under different irrigation treatments

RWC was significantly different between drought stress levels as well as between accessions (Fig. 5). The high water content maintained by plants under drought stress had produced higher yield (Pirzad *et al.*, 2011).



Figure 5. Leaf relative water content variation under different irrigation treatments

Morpho-physiological and yield characteristics of interspecific hybrids

YPP (Fig. 6) and NOF (Fig. 7) were significantly reduced from I1 to I3. Moreover, TSS (Fig. 8) was significantly increased (p<0.05) as drought stress increased. However, MFW was not affected by the drought stress levels (Fig.9). Drought during vegetative phase affects plant's assimilatory organs, which usually leads to decrease in number and size of the fruits resulting in lower photosynthetic production (Chaves *et al.*, 2003). As a result, yield decreases due to less

amount of assimilate available for the developing fruits. Duration of drought stress affect the number of flowers leading to a decrease in the number of fruits and the marketable yield (Bidel, 2014) and premature flower drop (Southwick and Davenport, 1986). According to (Mustapha *et al.*, 2014) drought stressed plants produce chemical substances such as amino acids which lead to increase soluble solids in fruits.





Figure 6. YPP variation under different irrigation treatments





Figure 8. TSS of fruits variation under different irrigation treatments

Ranaweera et al.



Figure 9. FW variation under different irrigation treatments

The data were analyzed using principal component method. The scree plot shows that the first four factors account for most of the total variability in data. The remaining factors account for a very small proportion of the variability and are likely unimportant (Fig. 10).



Figure 10. Scree plot under factor analysis where factor 1: LPP, number of branches per plant, plant canopy width, YPP and NOF, factor 2: Chlorophyll concentration (a, b and total), TSS value of fruits and MFW, factor 3: RWC and proline concentration and factor 4: Plant height.

CONCLUSION

Interspecific hybrids MEL2 \times *S. insanum*, MEL5 \times *S. insanum*, MEL7 \times *S. insanum*, MEL7 \times *S. insanum* and MEL6 \times *S. insanum* showed promising morphological and yield characteristics under 11 and 12. Interspecific hybrids MEL3 \times *S. insanum*, MEL2 \times *S. insanum*, MEL7 \times *S. insanum* and MEL6 \times *S. insanum* and MEL6 \times *S. insanum* and MEL6 \times *S. insanum* and MEL5 \times *S. insanum*

showed the highest morphological, physiological and yield characters under I3. Interspecific hybrid MEL3 \times *S. insanum*, MEL2 \times *S. insanum*, MEL4 \times *S. insanum*, MEL6 \times *S. insanum* and MEL5 \times *S. insanum* have potential to utilize as genetic material for future breeding program related to drought tolerance or avoidance.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work is completed as part of the project of "Utilization of Crop Wild Relatives in Egg Plant Pre-Breeding for Adaptation to Climate Change" funded by crop diversity trust Germany. I extend my deep sense of gratitude them for providing funding during the research period.

REFERENCES:

- Anjum SA, Ashraf U, Zohaib A and Tanveer M. 2017. Growth and developmental responses of crop plants under drought stress?: a review. *Zemdirbyste-Agriculture*, **104**(3):267-76.
- Ashraf M, Sciences A, Ozturk M. and Athar H. 2009. Salinity and Water Stress?: Improving crop efficiency strategies for crop improvement against salinity and drought Stress?: An Overview. *Task Veg Sci.*, **44**:1-16.
- Bates, L.S. Waldren, R.P. and Teare, I. D. 1973. Rapid determination of proline for water stress studies, *Plant and soil*, **39**: 305-307.
- Bidel, L. P. R. 2014. Water shortage and quality of fleshy fruits - making the most of the unavoidable', *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 65(15): 4097-4117.
- Chartzoulakis K. and Noitsakis B., T. I. 1993. Photosynthesis - plant growth and dry matter distribution in kiwifruit, as influenced by water deficits, *Jornal of Irrgation Science*, 14:1-5.
- Chaves, M.M., Maroco, J.P., and Pereira, J. 2003. Understanding plant responses to drought from genes to whole plant?. *Functional Plant Biology*, **30**: 239-264.
- De Costa, W. A. J. M. 2008. Climate change in Sri Lanka?: myth or reality?? Evidence from long-term meteorological data, *Journal of National .Science Foundation*, **36:** 63-88.
- Eunice, K. V. 2014. Inheritance of Tolerance to Drought from Selected Potato Cultivars in Uganda. Makerere university. School of Agricultural Sciences (SAS) Collections. http://hdl.handle.net/10570/3323
- Ghaemi, A. A. and Rafiee, M. R. 2016. Evapotranspiration and yield of eggplant under salinity and water deficit?: A Comparison between Greenhouse and

Outdoor Cultivation, *Modern Applied Science*, **10**(11): 8-18.

- Green, T. R. 2011. Beneath the Surface of Global Change?: Impacts of Climate Change on Ground water, *Hydrology*, **405**(August): 532-560.
- Hafeez, M.N., Sadique, S., Hassan, S., Sarwar, M.B., Rashid, B., Ali, Q., and Husnain, T. 2015. Phusiological, morpological, biochemical and molecular basis of drought tolerance in cotton, *International Journal of Biology*, *Pharmacy and Allied Sciences*, 4(3): 1091-1112.
- Heuer, B. 2010. Role of Proline in Plant Response to Drought and Salinity', *Handbook of Plant and Crop Stress*, pp. 213-238. doi: 10.1201/ b10329-12.
- Laxman, M., Tukaram, A. and Nikam, D. 2011. Salinity stress in relation to seed germination and osmolytes accumulation differential response of brinjal (*Solanum melongena* Linn.) Varieties to Salinity Stress in Relation to Seed Germination and Osmolytes Accumulation', *Journal of Seed Science* and Biotechnology, **5**(1): 29-35.
- Liu M, Shen Y, Qi Y, Wang Y. and Geng X. 2019. Changes in precipitation and drought extremes over the past half century in China. *J Atmos.*, **10**(203) : 1-13.
- Mensah, J. K., Obadoni, B. O. and Eruotor, P. G. 2006. Simulated flooding and drought effects on germination, growth, and yield parameters of sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.)', *African Journal of Biotechnology*, **5**(July): 1249-1253.
- Mofokeng, M. M. and Mokgehle, S. N. 2019. Evaluating growth, yield, and water use efficiency of South Africa, *Jouranal of Water*, **11**(548): 1-20.
- Momin, Kalkame Ch., Suresh, CP, Momin, Baggio Ch, Y S Singh, Y S, and Singh, S.K. 2016. An ethno-botanical study of wild plants in Garo Hills region of Meghalaya and their usage, *International Journal of Minor Fruits*, *Medicinal and Aromatic Plants*, **2**(1): 47-53.
- Mustapha, Yunusa, Biwe, Salem, E. R., and A. 2014. Effects of Moisture Stress on the growth parameters of soybean genotypes,

Journal of Agriculture and Food Sciences, **2**(5): 142-148.

- Nadeem, Muhammad and Li, Jiajia and Yahya, Muhammad and Sher, Alam and Ma, Chuanxi and Wang, X. 2019. Research progress and perspective on drought stress in legumes?: A Review, *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, **20**(2541): 1-32.
- Pirzad, A. ,Shakiba, MR., Zehtab-Salmasi, S., 2011. Effect of water stress on leaf relative water content, chlorophyll, proline and soluble carbohydrates in *Matricaria chamomilla* L *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research*, 5: 2483-2488.
- Pucholt P, Sjödin P, Weih M, Rönnberg and Wästljung AC, B. S. 2015. Genome-wide transcriptional and physiological responses to drought stress in leaves and roots of two

willow genotypes, *BMC Plant Biol.*, **15**(1): 244.

- Smýka, Petr Matthew N. NelsonJens and D. B. J. B. von W. 2018. The impact of genetic changes during crop Domestication, *Journal* of Agronomy, 8(119): 1-22.
- Southwick, S. M. and Davenport, T. L. 1986. Characterization of water stress and low temperature effects on flower induction in Citrus, *Journal of Plant Physiology*, **81**: 26-29.
- Zhang H, Mittal N, Leamy LJ and Barazani O. Back. 2017. into the wild - Apply untapped genetic diversity of wild relatives for crop improvement. *Evalutionary Appl.*,**10**:5-24. doi: 10.1111/eva.12434.